REMARKS

In response to the Office Action mailed May 6, 2003, claim 14 has been amended.

Claims 1-52 are now active in this application, of which claims 1, 12, 17, 21, 24, 29, 31, 40, 43 and 48 are independent.

Entry of the Amendments and Remarks is respectfully requested because entry of Amendment places the present application in condition for allowance, or in the alternative, better form for appeal. No new matters are believed to be added by these Amendments. Based on the above Amendments and the following Remarks, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider the outstanding objections and rejections and they be withdrawn.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112

In the Office Action, claims 11 and 14 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph for indefiniteness. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

In this response, claim 14 has been amended to recite "wherein a portion of a surface of said passivation layer has embossment", as suggested by the Examiner.

Claim 11 recites (a) "the passivation layer comprises photosensitive transparent insulator" and (b) "a surface of the passivation layer has embossment", and it is submitted that claim 11 is complete as is and does not require any further limitation to be complete.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection over claims 11 and 14 be withdrawn.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103

In the Office Action, claims 1-52 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) for being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 6,195,140 issued to Kubo, *et al.* ("Kubo 1") in view of U. S. Patent No. 6,330,047 issued to Kubo, *et al.* ("Kubo 2"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The present application was filed as claiming priority under 35 U.S.C. §119 from two Korean Patent Applications No. 1999-49940 and 2000-11533 filed on November 11, 1999 and March 8, 2000, respectively, in Korea. The secondary reference to Kubo 2 was filed on March 10, 2000. Thus, the priority dates of the present application *antedate* the filing date of Kubo 2, and hence Kubo 2 disqualifies as prior art.

Attached herewith are (a) an English translation of the certified copy of the Korean Patent Applications and (b) a statement that the translation of the certified copy is accurate. Since this rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) is based on a reference that does not qualify as prior art, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection over claims 1-52 be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

All of the stated grounds of objection and rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding objections and rejections and that they be withdrawn. Applicants believe that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and, as such, claims 1-52 are in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Hae-Chan Park

Reg. No. 50,114

Date: July 7, 2003

McGuireWoods LLP 1750 Tysons Boulevard **Suite 1800** McLean, VA 22102-4215

Tel: 703-712-5365

Fax: 703-712-5280 HCP:WSC/kbs

COM: 200747 v. 1

17